

Differential Forms.

①

Have you ever integrated

$$\int f(x) dx$$

and wondered what dx really was?

Or noticed the similarity between

FTC
$$\int_a^b f'(x) dx = f(b) - f(a)$$

Stokes
$$\int_{\partial S} \vec{V} \cdot d\vec{s} = \iint_S (\nabla \times \vec{V}) \cdot \vec{n} dA$$

Divergence
$$\int_{\partial \Omega} \vec{V} \cdot \vec{n} dA = \iiint_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot \vec{V}) dVol$$

(2)

If so, you have wanted to understand differential forms.

"Definition": A differential k -form is something you can integrate over a k -dimensional manifold.

Examples.

$$ds = \text{arclength} = 1 \text{ form}$$

$$(V \cdot n) dA = \text{flux of vector field} = 2 \text{ form}$$

$$dVol = \text{volume} = 3 \text{ form}$$

If you have ever changed coordinates, you understand that forms have different expressions in different coordinate systems.

Example.

$$\int_{D \subset \mathbb{R}^2} dArea = \int_{D \subset \mathbb{R}^2} 1 dx dy = \int_{D \subset \mathbb{R}^2} r dr d\theta$$

Goal: Understand k-forms as a way to understand k-manifolds more deeply.

(4)

Definition. A p -tensor on V is a function $T: V^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which is linear in each variable.

Example.

$f(\vec{v}) = v_1$, or any other linear functional on \mathbb{R}^n

$(\vec{v}, \vec{w}) \mapsto \langle \vec{v}, \vec{w} \rangle$, a 2-tensor on \mathbb{R}^n

$\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k \mapsto \det \begin{pmatrix} \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ v_1 & \dots & v_k \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \end{pmatrix}$ a k -tensor on \mathbb{R}^k

Definition. If T is a p -tensor, S is a q -tensor, we let the $p+q$ -tensor

$$T \otimes S (\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_p, \vec{v}_{p+1}, \dots, \vec{v}_{p+q}) = T(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_p) \cdot S(\vec{v}_{p+1}, \dots, \vec{v}_{p+q}).$$

Lemma. \otimes is associative, distributes over addition, not commutative.

⑤

Observe that

$$V^* = \text{dual to } V = \mathcal{S}^1(V^*)$$

\uparrow 1-tensors on V

We prove.

Theorem. Let $\{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k\}$ be a basis for V^* , then the p -tensors

$$\varphi_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi_{i_p}, \quad 1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_p \leq k$$

form a basis for $\mathcal{S}^p(V^*)$. Thus

$$\dim \mathcal{S}^p(V^*) = (\dim V)^p = k^p.$$

Define. Alternating tensors

Differential Forms (cont)

Definition. A tensor is alternating if it reverses sign whenever two variables are transposed.

We recall the useful fact:

Any permutation π of n letters can be written as a product of transpositions. The decomposition is not unique, but all decompositions have even or odd # of transpositions.

Definition. Let $(-1)^\pi$ be $+1$ if π has an even # of transpositions, -1 if π has an odd number of transpositions.

Lemma. T is alternating \Leftrightarrow

$$T^\pi(v_1, \dots, v_n) := T(v_{\pi(1)}, \dots, v_{\pi(n)}) = (-1)^\pi T(v_1, \dots, v_n).$$

(2)

We can construct an alternating tensor from any tensor T by averaging:

$$\text{Alt}(T) = \frac{1}{p!} \sum_{\pi \in S_p} (-1)^\pi T^\pi$$

Lemma. $\text{Alt}(T)$ is alternating.

Observe $(-1)^{\pi \circ \sigma} = (-1)^\pi (-1)^\sigma$, since $\pi \circ \sigma$ has (# of transpositions in π) + (# of transpositions in σ) transpositions.

So

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{Alt}(T)]^\sigma &= \frac{1}{p!} \sum_{\pi \in S_p} (-1)^\pi (T^\pi)^\sigma \\ &= (-1)^\sigma \frac{1}{p!} \sum_{\pi \in S_p} (-1)^\pi (-1)^\sigma T^{\pi \circ \sigma} \\ &= (-1)^\sigma \frac{1}{p!} \sum_{\pi \in S_p} (-1)^{\pi \circ \sigma} T^{\pi \circ \sigma} \end{aligned}$$

↑ product is 1

③

Note: If T is alternating $\text{Alt}(T) = T$.

We now observe

Lemma. Alternating p -tensors form a vector subspace $\Lambda^p(V^*)$ of p -tensors $\mathcal{J}^p(V^*)$.

Proof. Just check sums and scalar multiples.

There is no reason to believe that

$T \otimes S$ is alternating if T, S alternate

(What if the transposition swaps a vector in the first group for one in the second?)

Definition. If $T \in \Lambda^p(V^*)$, $S \in \Lambda^q(V^*)$, let

$$T \wedge S = \text{Alt}(T \otimes S) \in \Lambda^{p+q}(V^*).$$

We call this the wedge product.

Lemma. Wedge distributes over addition and scalar multiplication.

(4)

Problem. Is it obvious that \wedge is associative? No!

Lemma. If $\text{Alt}(T) = 0$, then $T \wedge S = 0 = S \wedge T$.

Proof. Consider S_{prq} , which a subgroup $G \cong S_p$ which permutes only the first p elements of $(1, \dots, prq)$. Let $\pi \in G \mapsto \pi' \in S_p$ under the isomorphism.

Note: $(T \otimes S)^\pi = T^{\pi'} \otimes S$, and $(-1)^\pi = (-1)^{\pi'}$.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\pi \in G} (-1)^\pi (T \otimes S)^\pi &= \left[\sum_{\pi' \in S_p} (-1)^{\pi'} T^{\pi'} \right] \otimes S \\ &= \text{Alt}(T) \otimes S = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Further, G decomposes S_{prq} into cosets

$$G \circ \sigma = \{ \pi \circ \sigma : \pi \in G \}.$$

For each coset

$$\sum_{\pi\sigma \in G\sigma} (-1)^{\pi\sigma} (T \otimes S)^{\pi\sigma} = (-1)^\sigma \underbrace{\left[\sum_{\pi \in G} (-1)^\pi (T \otimes S)^\pi \right]}_0^\sigma$$

$$= 0.$$

But $\text{Alt}(T \otimes S)$ is the ^(scaled) sum over all cosets of G of the sums above.

Theorem. Wedge is associative

$$(T \wedge S) \wedge R = T \wedge (S \wedge R).$$

Proof. We claim $(T \wedge S) \wedge R = \text{Alt}(T \otimes S \otimes R)$.

By definition,

$$(T \wedge S) \wedge R = \text{Alt}((T \wedge S) \otimes R)$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} (T \wedge S) \wedge R - \text{Alt}(T \otimes S \otimes R) &= \text{Alt}((T \wedge S) \otimes R) - \text{Alt}(T \otimes S \otimes R) \\ &= \text{Alt}((T \wedge S - T \otimes S) \otimes R). \end{aligned}$$

by linearity of \otimes and Alt .

⑥

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Alt}(T \wedge S - T \otimes S) &= \text{Alt}(T \wedge S) - \text{Alt}(T \otimes S) \\ &= T \wedge S - T \wedge S = 0 \end{aligned}$$

(since $T \wedge S$ is alternating). By lemma,
this implies

$$\text{Alt}([T \wedge S - T \otimes S] \otimes R) = 0,$$

so

$$(T \wedge S) \wedge R = \text{Alt}(T \otimes S \otimes R), \text{ as required.}$$

Examples. $V = \mathbb{R}^3$

$$T(\vec{v}) = v_1 + v_2, \quad S^*(\vec{v}) = v_3$$

$$T \otimes S(\vec{v}, \vec{w}) = (v_1 + v_2) \cdot w_3$$

$$T \wedge S(\vec{v}, \vec{w}) = (v_1 + v_2) \cdot w_3 - (w_1 + w_2) \cdot v_3$$

Our goal now is to derive a basis for $\Lambda^p(V^*)$, using the wedge product.

~~Exercise~~. If T is any p -tensor, we recall that a basis ϕ_1, \dots, ϕ_k for V^* yields a basis for \mathcal{T}^p by in the form

$$T = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_p} t_{i_1, \dots, i_p} \phi_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \phi_{i_p}$$

So if T alternates,

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \text{Alt}(T) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_p} t_{i_1, \dots, i_p} \text{Alt}(\phi_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \phi_{i_p}) \\ &= \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_p} t_{i_1, \dots, i_p} \phi_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \phi_{i_p}. \end{aligned}$$

So the set of p -tensors in the form $\phi_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \phi_{i_p}$ span $\Lambda^p(V^*)$. We will see they are not independent.