

An alternate form for Stiefel Geodesics.
Grassmann Geodesics.

Edelman, Arias, and Smith give the following consequence of the last form:

Theorem. Suppose that Y and H are $n \times k$ matrices so that $Y^T Y = I_k$ and $Y^T H = A$ is skew-symmetric.

Let $K = (I - Y Y^T) H$, (the normal ^{to $\text{colspace}(Y)$} component of H) and $QR = K$ be the QR decomposition of K (Q is $n \times k$, R is $k \times k$).

Let $M(t)$ and $N(t)$ be given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} M(t) \\ N(t) \end{pmatrix}_{2k \times k} = e^{t \begin{matrix} [A & -R^T] \\ [R & 0] \end{matrix}}_{2k \times 2k} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} I_k \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_{2k \times k}$$

"projection onto
1st k columns.

Then the Stiefel geodesic from Y in direction H is given by

$$Y(t) = YM(t) + QN(t).$$

This is the formula that we'd like to use for computations! To compare Grassmann geodesics, ^{first} note that

$$V_k(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow G_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

is also a Riemannian submersion.

We will use this to work out the $n \times k$ tangent space to $G_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Now the tangent space to $\mathbb{R}^n / \text{Mat}_{n \times k}(\mathbb{R})$ can be orthogonally decomposed into

$$M = \underbrace{(YY^T)M}_{\text{vectors in colspace } Y} + \underbrace{(I - YY^T)M}_{\text{vectors in (colspace } Y)^\perp}$$

We know that

$$T_{\psi} V_k(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ M \mid \psi^T M = A, A \text{ skew-symmetric} \right\}.$$

Further, we know that inside $V_k(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \text{Mat}_{n \times k}$, elements of $G_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are represented by equivalence classes

$$[\psi] = \left\{ \psi Q_k \mid Q_k \in O(k) \right\},$$

so the vertical space is

$$\text{Vert}_{\psi} = \left\{ \psi A \mid A \text{ is skew-symmetric} \right\}.$$

The horizontal space is then given in the following form. Suppose ψ_{\perp} is an $n \times (n-k)$ matrix so that $(\psi \ \psi_{\perp}) \in O(n)$. (That is, any basis for $\text{colspace}(\psi)^{\perp}$)

Then we have

$$\text{Horiz}_Y = \{ Y_{\perp} B \mid B \text{ is } \cancel{n-k} \times k \}$$

This tells us how to see the tangent space to the Grassmannian: it's this $k(n-k)$ dimensional space, or equivalently the space of $n \times k$ vectors Δ so that

$$Y^T \Delta = 0$$

This means they don't rotate the columns of Y within colspace Y .

~~Proposition. The invariant metric on $G_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the same as the subspace quotient space metric on $G_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$.~~
a constant multiple of

What's the metric on this space?

For this ^{question} ~~proposition~~ to make sense, we have to recall our constructions:

(5)

$$G_k(\mathbb{R}^n) = O(n) / O(k) \times O(n-k)$$

$$[Q] = \left\{ Q \begin{pmatrix} Q_k & 0 \\ 0 & Q_{n-k} \end{pmatrix} \mid \begin{array}{l} Q \in O(n), Q_k \in O(k), \\ Q_{n-k} \in O(n-k) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\text{Horiz}_Q = T_{[Q]} G_k(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ Q \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -B^T \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid B \text{ is } (n-k) \times k \right\}$$

We can take various metrics on this tangent space:

1) Restriction of $O(n)$ metric (in $n \times n$ representation)

$$\langle \Delta_1, \Delta_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left(Q \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -B_1^T \\ B_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)^T \left(Q \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -B_2^T \\ B_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & B_1^T \\ -B_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} Q^T Q \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -B_2^T \\ B_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \begin{pmatrix} B_1^T B_2 & 0 \\ 0 & B_2^T B_1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} B_1^T B_2$$

(using $\text{tr} AB = \text{tr} BA$)

(6)

2) Restriction of Stiefel metric
(in $n \times k$ representation).

Using the orthogonal decomposition
of tangents to $\text{Matr}_{n \times k}$ as

$$\Delta = \Psi A + \Psi_{\perp} B,$$

we see that

$$g_{\text{Stiefel}}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} A_1^T A_2 + \text{tr} B_1^T B_2$$

$$= \text{tr} \left(\Delta_1^T \left(I - \frac{1}{2} \Psi \Psi^T \right) \Delta_2 \right)$$

$$= \text{tr} \left(\left(A_1^T \Psi^T + B_1^T \Psi_{\perp}^T \right) \left(\Psi A_2 + \Psi_{\perp} B_2 - \frac{1}{2} \Psi A_2 \right) \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} A_1^T A_2 + \text{tr} B_1^T B_2.$$

So if $\Delta_1, \Delta_2 \in \text{Horiz}_{\Psi}$, or $\Psi^T \Delta_i = 0$,
we have

$$g_{\text{Stiefel}}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2) = \text{tr} B_1^T B_2.$$

3) Restriction of Euclidean metric on $\text{Mat}_{n \times k}$.

In fact, if Δ_1, Δ_2 are actually $\Upsilon_{\perp} B_1, \Upsilon_{\perp} B_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
g_e(\Delta_1, \Delta_2) &= \text{tr } \Delta_1^T \Delta_2 \\
&= \text{tr}(B_1^T \Upsilon_{\perp}^T \Upsilon_{\perp} B_2) \\
&= \text{tr } B_1^T B_2.
\end{aligned}$$

So what about the Grassmann geodesics? First, recall ~~that~~ the

~~Theorem~~

~~Singular Value Decomposition Theorem;~~

~~Any $n \times k$ matrix H can be written~~

$$H = U \Sigma V^T$$

~~where $U \in O(n), V \in O(k)$ and $\Sigma =$~~

8

Compact Singular Value Decomposition.

Any $n \times k$ matrix H can be written

$$H = U \Sigma V^T$$

where U is $n \times k$, $U^T U = I_k$, Σ is $k \times k$ and diagonal, and V is $k \times k$ orthogonal.

Now we have

Theorem. If $\Psi(t) = Q e^{t \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -B^T \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix}} I_{n,k}$
with $\Psi(0) = Q$ and $\dot{\Psi}(0) = H$, and $H = U \Sigma V^T$
then

$$\Psi(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi V & U \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \Sigma t \\ \sin \Sigma t \end{pmatrix} V^T$$

$n \times 2k$ $2k \times k$ $k \times k$

This gives us an " $n \times k$ " characterization of geodesics on the Grassmannian.

9

The proof is an exercise.

Let's look at a consequence:

the (constant) speed of the geodesic $\gamma(t)$ is given by $\|H\|_F$, which is

$$\begin{aligned}\|H\|_F^2 &= \text{tr}(H^T H) \\ &= \text{tr}((U \Sigma V)^T (U \Sigma V)) \\ &= \text{tr}(V^T \Sigma^T U^T U \Sigma V) \\ &= \text{tr}(\Sigma \Sigma^T) = \sum \sigma_i^2\end{aligned}$$

where the σ_i are the singular values of H .
Thus the distance between $\gamma(0)$ and $\gamma(t)$ is just

$$d(\gamma(0), \gamma(t)) = t \left(\sum \sigma_i^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$