

Circular Statistics II - Asymptotics

①

We expect to have a central limit theorem on the circle.

Theorem. Let $\Theta_1, \dots, \Theta_n$ be independent and identically distributed random variables on the circle. If the distribution is not lattice, the variable $\Theta_1 + \dots + \Theta_n$ converges in distribution to a uniform random variable as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Consider the characteristic function.

Since the r.v. is not lattice, $|\varphi_p| < 1$

for all $p \neq 0$. Thus the char. function of $\sum_{i=1}^n \Theta_i$, φ_p^n , goes to 0 for $p \neq 0$, and ~~stays~~

has $\varphi_0^n = \varphi_0 = 1$. But this is the c.f.

of a uniform distribution.

~~The~~

Poincaré's Theorem.

Let x be a random variable on \mathbb{R} with ~~the~~ pdf given by f . Define

$X_\omega = x \pmod{2\pi}$ to be a corresponding distribution on S^1 .

Let $x' = cx$. The distribution of x'_ω converges to uniform as $c \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. We know for x_ω that

$$\mathbb{E}(\cos p\theta) = \int_0^{2\pi} \cos p\theta f_\omega(\theta) d\theta$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cos p\theta f(x) dx$$

change vars $u = \frac{1}{c}x$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cos(pu) f(cu) c du$$

A

we can write

$$\Phi_p = \Phi(p)$$

where the Fourier transform

$$\Phi(z) = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{iz\theta} f_w(\theta) d\theta$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{izx} f(x) dx$$

and $f(x)$ is the pdf for x . The pdf for the scaled variable is given by

$$~~f_p(p)~~ f_c^c(cx) = \frac{1}{c} f(x), \text{ so}$$

when we wrap the scaled var,

$$\Phi^c(z) = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{iz\theta} f_w^c(\theta) d\theta$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{izx} f^c(x) dx$$

Now if $x=cu$, then $dx=cdu$

and we can change variables

(4)

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi^c(z) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{izx} f^c(x) dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{izcu} f^c(cu) c du \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(zc)u} f(\frac{1}{c}u) \frac{1}{c} \cdot c du \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(zc)u} f(u) du. \\ &= \varphi(cz).\end{aligned}$$

Now since $f(x)$ ~~is~~ ~~is~~ L^2 (with total mass 1), we know its Fourier transform $\varphi(z) \rightarrow 0$ as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$, so

$\varphi^c(p) \rightarrow 0$ for $p \neq 0$, $\varphi^c(0) = 1$ for all c .

But this shows that $f_{\omega}^c \rightarrow$ uniform. \square

Application. Benford's Law.

Suppose we have a collection of data written in decimal notation. Benford's law states

$$\Pr(\text{first digit of } x \text{ is } i) = \log_{10}(i+1) - \log_{10}(i).$$

We observe that the first ~~of~~ digit of x is i ~~iff~~ (for $i \in 1, \dots, 9$), if

$$i \times 10^r \leq x < (i+1) \times 10^{r+1}$$

for some ~~2×10^3~~ integer r . ~~3×10^3~~

This means

$$\cancel{r} + \log_{10} i \leq \log_{10} x \leq r + \log_{10}(i+1).$$

~~iff~~ or that $\log_{10} x \pmod{1}$ is between $\log_{10}(i)$ and $\log_{10}(i+1)$.

Now if the distribution of $\log_{10} x$ is

very spread out, the distribution of ⑥
the wrapped variable $\log_{10} x \pmod{1}$
is close to uniform & by Poincare's
theorem, and so the ^{1st} digits \sim the
given distribution.

(An actual proof was given by Hill in 1995).

We now turn to uniformity testing.
Suppose we have a large sample
of points on the circle.

Theorem. The joint distribution of
 $\bar{C} = \frac{1}{n} \sum \cos(\theta_i)$, $\bar{S} = \frac{1}{n} \sum \sin(\theta_i)$ is asymptotically
normal. ~~Thus the distribution of~~
 ~~$(\bar{C}^2 + \bar{S}^2)$~~ in the rescaled sense
that $\sqrt{2n} (\bar{C}, \bar{S}) \sim$ bivariate normal.

Thus

⑦ ~~⑥~~

$$\begin{aligned} 2n\bar{R}^2 &= 2n(\bar{C}^2 + \bar{S}^2) \\ &= ((\sqrt{2n}\bar{C})^2 + (\sqrt{2n}\bar{S})^2) \end{aligned}$$

must be ~~χ^2~~ asymptotically χ^2 ,

We can get an even better estimate from (Jupp, 1999)

$$S^* = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2n}\right) 2n\bar{R}^2 + \frac{n\bar{R}^4}{2}$$

or (Wilkie, 1983).

$$\Pr(n\bar{R}^2 > k) \approx e^{-\sqrt{1+4n+4(n^2-nk)} - (1+2n)}$$

A second test is given by Watson's U^2 test, which is based on the mean square deviation between an empirical cdf for the data and the cdf of the uniform distribution.

Given n observations $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$,
we can define

$$S_n(\theta) = \# \text{ of observations between } 0 \text{ and } \theta / n.$$

the test statistic is then

$$U^2 = n \int_0^{2\pi} \left(S_n(\theta) - \frac{\theta}{2\pi} - \bar{U} \right)^2 \frac{1}{2\pi} d\theta$$

where

$$\bar{U} = \int_0^{2\pi} \left(S_n(\theta) - \frac{\theta}{2\pi} \right) \frac{1}{2\pi} d\theta.$$

Carry out the integrations ^{and} we get (if

$$U_i = \frac{\theta_i}{2\pi} \text{ and } \bar{U} = \frac{1}{n} \sum U_i)$$

$$U^2 = \sum U_i^2 - n \bar{U}^2 - \frac{2}{n} \sum i U_i + (n+1) \bar{U} + \frac{n}{12}.$$

The large-sample distribution U^2 for uniform data is

$$\Pr(U^2 > u) = 2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{m-1} e^{-2m^2 \pi^2 u}$$

9

It's also useful to consider

$$(U^*)^2 = \left(U^2 - \frac{0.1}{n} - \frac{0.1}{n^2} \right) \left(1 + \frac{0.8}{n} \right)$$

which is (almost) invariant in n for $n \geq 8$,
and has quantiles

0.10	0.05	0.025	0.01
0.152	0.187	0.221	0.267.

Circular variables can also be tested for correlation. A standard model of dependence might be

$$\Theta = g(\varphi)$$

where Θ, φ are circular random variables. In this model, the ordering of points in the samples $\Theta_1, \dots, \Theta_n$ and $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ should be the same, so we can detect this kind of association by computing a new statistic.

Let $\beta_i = \frac{2\pi}{n} r_i$, where r_i is the rank ordering of Θ_i on the circle. Similarly, $\gamma_i = \frac{2\pi}{n} s_i$ where s_i is the rank ordering of φ_i on the circle.

Define new circular variables by

$$\beta_1 - \gamma_1, \beta_2 - \gamma_2, \dots, \beta_n - \gamma_n$$

and

$$\beta_1 + \gamma_1, \beta_2 + \gamma_2, \dots, \beta_n + \gamma_n.$$

↑ one of

These should be highly concentrated if $\Theta = g(\Phi)$ is orientation preserving, the other is $\Theta = g(\Phi)$ is orientation reversing, so we compute

$$n^2 \bar{R}_+^2 = \left(\sum \cos(\beta_i - \gamma_i) \right) + \left(\sum \sin(\beta_i - \gamma_i) \right)$$

and

$$n^2 \bar{R}_-^2 = \left(\sum \cos(\beta_i + \gamma_i) \right) + \left(\sum \sin(\beta_i + \gamma_i) \right).$$

Since these depend only on order, they are invariant under changes in the distributions of Θ, Φ when Θ and Φ are independent.

(12)

Proposition (Mardia, 1975, MJ p. 251),

The circular-circular rank correlation coefficient $r_o = \max(\bar{R}_+, \bar{R}_-) \rightarrow 0$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ if Θ, Φ are independent. For $n > 0$,

$$P(2(n-1)r_o > u) \approx 1 - (1 - e^{-u/2})^2$$

Another method is to consider some measure of the distance between the empirical distribution function and the product of its marginals, such as defining r_i, s_i to be the circular ranks of the Θ_i, Φ_i and

$$T_{i,j} = n \min(r_i, s_j) - r_i s_j$$

then defining

$$C_n = \frac{1}{n^4} \sum_{i,j} (T_{i,i} - T_{i,j} - T_{j,i} + T_{j,j})^2$$

This is called "Rothman's C_n^* test,"
and the approximation

$$P(16\pi^4 C_n > x) \approx (1.466x - 0.322)e^{-x/2}$$

is used in practice.

Of course, mutual information on T^2
would be another option!