

Resolving powers; Chebyshev, ~~Mithead~~ ^{Mithead}

①

We saw in the exercises that for $(0 \leq \alpha \leq 1)$,

$$a^\alpha b^{1-\alpha} \leq \alpha a + (1-\alpha)b$$

with equality only if $a=b$, $\alpha=0$ or $\alpha=1$.

(Recall that the lhs is $G((a,b))$ and the rhs is $U((a,b))$ with weights α and $1-\alpha$.)

We can rewrite this as

$$a^\alpha \leq (\alpha a + (1-\alpha)b) b^{\alpha-1}$$

$$a^\alpha - b^\alpha \leq (\alpha a + (1-\alpha)b) b^{\alpha-1} - b^\alpha$$

$$\leq (\alpha a + (1-\alpha)b - b) b^{\alpha-1}$$

$$\leq (\alpha a - \alpha b) b^{\alpha-1}$$

$$\leq \alpha(a-b) b^{\alpha-1}$$

with equality only if $\alpha=0$, $\alpha=1$ or $a=b$.

(2)

Symmetrically,

$$b^\alpha - a^\alpha \leq \alpha(b-a)a^{\alpha-1}$$

so we have (for $0 < \alpha < 1$)

$$\alpha(a-b)b^{\alpha-1} \geq a^\alpha - b^\alpha \geq \alpha(a-b)a^{\alpha-1}$$

If we have two numbers $\{a, b\}$ and let $M = \max\{a, b\}$, $m = \min\{a, b\}$ it's helpful to write the above as

$$\alpha m^{\alpha-1} \geq \frac{M^\alpha - m^\alpha}{M-m} \geq \alpha M^{\alpha-1} \quad (0 < \alpha < 1)$$

for $\alpha < 0$ or $\alpha > 1$, the sense reverses and (exercise)

$$\alpha M^{\alpha-1} \leq \frac{M^\alpha - m^\alpha}{M-m} \leq \alpha m^{\alpha-1}$$

N.b. For $\alpha=2$, $\frac{M^2 - m^2}{M-m} = M+m$, which is

clearly between $2M \geq M+m \geq 2m$, with equality $\Leftrightarrow M=m$.

We know from ~~Hölder~~ Minkowski's ③
inequality that $M_r(\vec{a}) + M_r(\vec{b})$ is either \geq
or $\leq M_r(\vec{a} + \vec{b})$ depending on whether $r > 1$
or $r < 1$. What about $M_r(\vec{a})M_r(\vec{b})$ and
 $M_r(\vec{a}\vec{b})$? ~~?~~

Definition. If there is a (common) permutation
of indices which makes a_i and b_i into
non-decreasing sequences, we say \vec{a}, \vec{b}
are similarly ordered.

If there is a common permutation of
indices which makes a_i into a non-decreasing
sequence and b_i into a non-increasing
sequence, we say \vec{a}, \vec{b} are oppositely
ordered.

(4)

Chebyshev's Inequality. If $r > 0$ and \vec{a}, \vec{b} are similarly ordered,

$$M_r(\vec{a}) M_r(\vec{b}) \leq M_r(\vec{a}, \vec{b})$$

{with equality only if (all a_i are equal) or (all b_i are equal)}. If \vec{a}, \vec{b} are oppositely ordered

$$M_r(\vec{a}) M_r(\vec{b}) \geq M_r(\vec{a}, \vec{b})$$

Proof. We start with the case $r=1$.

Without assuming $\sum p_i = 1$,

$$(M_1(\vec{a}, \vec{b}) - M_1(\vec{a}) M_1(\vec{b})) (\sum p_i)^2$$

$$= \sum_i p_i \sum_j p_j a_j b_j - \sum_i p_i a_i \sum_j p_j b_j$$

$$= \sum_{i,j} p_i p_j a_j b_j - p_i p_j a_i b_j$$

Now this is equal to

(5)

$$\sum_{i,j} p_i p_j a_i b_i - p_i p_j a_j b_i$$

by swapping indices i and j . So we can write the sum as

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} p_i p_j (a_j b_j - a_i b_j + a_i b_i - a_j b_i)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} p_i p_j (a_j - a_i)(b_j - b_i)$$

Now if ~~the~~ \vec{a}, \vec{b} are similarly ordered, we can assume wlog that we have permuted indices so a_1, \dots, a_n and b_1, \dots, b_n are nondecreasing.

In this case, $a_j - a_i \geq 0$ if $j > i$

$a_j - a_i \leq 0$ if $j < i$

and the same holds for $b_j - b_i$.

Either way, $(a_j - a_i)(b_j - b_i) \geq 0$, so

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum p_i p_j (a_j - a_i)(b_j - b_i) \geq 0$$

We have equality only if all these terms are 0, including

$$p_1 p_n (a_n - a_1)(b_n - b_1)$$

which can only be zero if $a_1 = a_n$ (and hence all a_i are equal) or $b_1 = b_n$ (and hence all a_j are equal).

Of course, if \vec{a}, \vec{b} are oppositely ordered, the signs of $(a_j - a_i), (b_j - b_i)$ always disagree and the sum is ≤ 0 .

The case of equality is the same.

⑥

⑦

Recalling that

$$M_r(\vec{a})^r = M_{\frac{1}{r}}(\vec{a}^r)$$

we get

$$M_r(\vec{a})^r M_r(\vec{b})^r \stackrel{\leq}{\geq} M_r(\vec{a}\vec{b})^r \quad (\text{sim. ordered})$$

$$M_r(\vec{a})^r M_r(\vec{b})^r \geq M_r(\vec{a}\vec{b})^r \quad (\text{opp. ordered})$$

immediately. Since $r > 0$, taking the r -th root preserves the sense of the inequalities. \square

Note. Since \vec{a} is similarly ordered with itself, Chebyshev implies

$$M_r(\vec{a})^m \leq M_r(\vec{a}^m)$$

or

$$M_r(\vec{a}) \leq M_r(\vec{a}^m)^{1/m} = (U(\vec{a}^{mr}))^{1/m} = M_{mr}(\vec{a})$$

which is a subcase of the general
 $r < s \Rightarrow M_r(\vec{a}) \leq M_s(\vec{a})$ theorem.

⑧

Pause to reflect: We have shown that
 $M_r(\vec{a}\vec{b})$ is not generally comparable
to $M_r(\vec{a})M_r(\vec{b})$. ~~Can~~ Could it be
comparable to $M_s(\vec{a})M_t(\vec{b})$ for
some s and t ?

Theorem. $M_{r_0}(\vec{a}_1 \cdots \vec{a}_m)$ is ^{generally} comparable to
 $M_{r_1}(\vec{a}_1) \cdots M_{r_m}(\vec{a}_m)$ (for positive r_0, \dots, r_m)
if and only if

$$\frac{1}{r_0} \geq \frac{1}{r_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{r_m}$$

in which case

$$M_{r_0}(\vec{a}_1 \cdots \vec{a}_m) \leq M_{r_1}(\vec{a}_1) \cdots M_{r_m}(\vec{a}_m).$$

Sanity check: $\frac{1}{r} < \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r}$, so this does not contradict Chebyshev. ⑨

Proof. We recall Hölder's inequality

$$M_r(\vec{a}_1 \cdots \vec{a}_m) \leq M_{r/p_1}(\vec{a}_1) \cdots M_{r/p_m}(\vec{a}_m)$$

when $p_1 + \cdots + p_m = 1$. In this case

$$\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{r/p_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{r/p_m} = \frac{p_1}{r} + \cdots + \frac{p_m}{r} = \frac{1}{r}$$

~~IF~~ ~~we~~ So the theorem holds if

$$\frac{1}{r_0} = \frac{1}{r_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{r_m}. \text{ If } \frac{1}{r_0} > \frac{1}{r_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{r_m},$$

then we can decrease r_1 to some r'_1 so that

$$\frac{1}{r_0} = \frac{1}{r'_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{r_m}$$

and get (from Hölder)

$$M_{r_0}(\vec{a}_1 \cdots \vec{a}_m) \leq M_{r'_1}(\vec{a}_1) \cdots M_{r_m}(\vec{a}_m)$$

and then use the theorem of the means to conclude $M_{r_1}(\vec{a}_1) \leq M_{r_2}(\vec{a}_1)$ and so obtain

$$M_{r_0}(\vec{a}_1 \dots \vec{a}_m) \leq M_{r_1}(\vec{a}_1) \dots M_{r_m}(\vec{a}_m).$$

This gives us the "if". For the "only if", set all the $\vec{a}_i = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$. Then

$$M_{r_0}(\vec{a}_1 \dots \vec{a}_m) = \left(\frac{1^{r_0} + 0^{r_0} + \dots + 0^{r_0}}{n} \right)^{1/r_0} = n^{-1/r_0}$$

and the rhs becomes

$$M_{r_1}(\vec{a}_1) \dots M_{r_m}(\vec{a}_m) = n^{-1/r_1} \dots n^{-1/r_m} = n^{-(1/r_1 + \dots + 1/r_m)}$$

and it's clear that

$$M_{r_0}(\vec{a}_1 \dots \vec{a}_m) \leq M_{r_1}(\vec{a}_1) \dots M_{r_m}(\vec{a}_m)$$

becomes

$$n^{-1/r_0} \leq n^{-(1/r_1 + \dots + 1/r_m)}$$

which is true only if $\frac{1}{r_0} \geq \frac{1}{r_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{r_m}$. \square