

# The Transpose.

(1)

Definition. If  $A \in \text{Mat}_{m \times n}$ , with entries  $A = [a_{ij}]$ , then  $A^T \in \text{Mat}_{n \times m}$  is the matrix where  $(A^T)_{ij} = A_{ji}$ .

Definition.  $A$  is symmetric if  $A = A^T$  and skew-symmetric if  $A = -A^T$ .

Note. Symmetric and skew-symmetric matrices are always square or  $n \times n$  matrices.

Proposition. If  $A, A' \in \text{Mat}_{m \times n}$  and  $B \in \text{Mat}_{n \times p}$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$1. (A^T)^T = A \quad 3. (A + A')^T = A^T + (A')^T$$

$$2. (cA)^T = cA^T \quad 4. (AB)^T = B^T A^T$$

(2)

Proof. We will only prove 4.

Suppose  $A = [a_{ij}]$  and  $A^T = [(a^T)_{ij}]$ ,

where  $a_{ij} = (a^T)_{ji}$ , and similarly

$B = [b_{ij}]$  and  $B^T = [(b^T)_{ij}]$ ,  $b_{ij} = (b^T)_{ji}$ .

Now

$$((AB)^T)_{ij} = (AB)_{ji}$$

$$= \sum_k a_{jk} b_{ki}$$

$$= \sum_k (a^T)_{kj} (b^T)_{ik}$$

$$= \sum_k (b^T)_{ik} (a^T)_{kj}$$

$$= (B^T A^T)_{ij}.$$

□

Lemma. If we write  $\vec{v}, \vec{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  as  
 $n \times 1$  matrices  $v, w \in \text{Mat}_{n \times 1}$ , then

$$v^T w = [\vec{v} \cdot \vec{w}] \in \text{Mat}_{1 \times 1}$$

Proof. Follows directly from our  
definition of matrix multiplication.  $\square$

Proposition. If  $A \in \text{Mat}_{m \times n}$ ,  $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}_n$  and  $\vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,

$$A\vec{x} \cdot \vec{y} = \vec{x} \cdot (A^T \vec{y}).$$

Proof.

$$[A\vec{x} \cdot \vec{y}] = (Ax)^T y = \vec{x}^T A^T y = [\vec{x} \cdot A^T \vec{y}].$$

This is really important!

(4)

The structural similarity between "transpose" and "inverse" makes you think that there's some connection.

Definition. A matrix  $A \in \text{Mat}_{n \times n}$  is said to be orthogonal if  $A^T = A^{-1}$ .

Proposition.  $A \in \text{Mat}_{n \times n}$  is orthogonal if and only if  $A\vec{x} \cdot A\vec{y} = \vec{x} \cdot \vec{y}$  for all  $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Proof. ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose  $A$  is orthogonal. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \{A\vec{x} \cdot A\vec{y}\} &= \cancel{\vec{x} \cdot A^T A\vec{y}} \\ &= \vec{x} \cdot A^{-1} A\vec{y} \\ &= \vec{x} \cdot I\vec{y} = \vec{x} \cdot \vec{y}. \end{aligned}$$

(5)

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose  $A\vec{x} \cdot A\vec{y} = \vec{x} \cdot \vec{y}$  for all

$\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . In particular, this means

$$\vec{x} \cdot \vec{y} = A\vec{x} \cdot A\vec{y} = \vec{x} \cdot A^T A \vec{y}$$

Now suppose  $\vec{x} = \vec{e}_i$  and  $\vec{y} = \vec{e}_j$ . Then

$A^T A \vec{e}_j = A^T A \vec{e}_j$  = the  $j$ th column of  $A^T A$ .

Further,  $\vec{x} \cdot A^T A \vec{y} = \vec{e}_i \cdot A^T A \vec{e}_j$  = the  $i$ th

entry in the  $j$ th column, or  $(A^T A)_{ij}$

Thus

$$(A^T A)_{ij} = \vec{e}_i \cdot \vec{e}_j$$

But  $\vec{e}_i \cdot \vec{e}_j = 0$  if  $i \neq j$  and 1 if  $i = j$ .

So this proves that  $A^T A = I$  and

(by uniqueness of inverses) that  $A^T = A^{-1}$ .  $\square$